

MORE ON FIVE COMMUTATOR IDENTITIES

G. DONADZE ¹, M. LADRA ²

¹ *A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Georgian Academy of Sciences, M. Alexidze St. 1, 0193 Tbilisi, Georgia, e-mail: donad@rmi.acnet.ge*

² *Departamento de Álgebra, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain, e-mail: ladra@usc.es*

ABSTRACT. We prove that five well-known identities universally satisfied by commutators in a group generate all universal commutator identities for commutators of weight 4.

INTRODUCTION

For elements x, y of a group we write $xy = xyx^{-1}$ and $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. The following commutator identities are universal in the sense that they hold for any elements x, y, z of an arbitrary group:

$$[x, x] = 1,$$

$$[x, yz] = [x, y]^y [x, z],$$

$$[xy, z] = {}^x[y, z][x, z],$$

$$[[y, x], {}^x z][[x, z], {}^z y][[z, y], {}^y x] = 1,$$

$${}^z[x, y] = [{}^z x, {}^z y].$$

In [4] Ellis conjectured that, for any n , these universal relations applied to commutators of weight n generate all universal relations between commutators of weight n . This conjecture is stronger than Miller's result [10], who proved that any universal relation among commutators is deduced from four given ones without considering weights. Ellis considers his conjecture as a nonabelian version of the Magnus-Witt theorem (see [9] and [11]). To make his conjecture precise Ellis introduced the structure of "multiplicative Lie algebra". Then using the methods of homological algebra, he proved his conjecture for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

This paper proves Ellis' conjecture for $n=4$ using essentially the same tools.

1. MULTIPLICATIVE LIE ALGEBRAS

This section is devoted to the formulation of Ellis' conjecture, which he calls a nonabelian version of the Magnus-Witt theorem. We first recall the notion of a multiplicative Lie algebra due to Ellis [4].

(1.1) Definition. *A multiplicative Lie algebra consists of a multiplicative (possibly nonabelian) group L together with a binary function $\{ , \} : L \times L \rightarrow L$, which we shall call Lie product, satisfying the following identities for all x, x', y, y', z in L*

$$(1.2) \quad \{x, x\} = 1 ,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \{x, yy'\} = \{x, y\}^y \{x, y'\} ,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \{xx', y\} = {}^x \{x', y\} \{x, y\} ,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \{\{y, x\}, {}^x z\} \{\{x, z\}, {}^z y\} \{\{z, y\}, {}^y x\} = 1 ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad {}^z \{x, y\} = \{^z x, {}^z y\} .$$

In [4] the following identities are deduced from (1.2)-(1.6):

$$(1.7) \quad \{1, x\} = \{x, 1\} = 1 ,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \{y, x\} = \{x, y\}^{-1} ,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \{x, y\} \{x', y'\} = [x, y] \{x', y'\} ,$$

$$(1.10) \quad \{[x, y], x'\} = [\{x, y\}, x'] ,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \{x^{-1}, y\} = {}^{x^{-1}} \{x, y\}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x, y^{-1}\} = {}^{y^{-1}} \{x, y\}^{-1}$$

for all $x, x', y, y' \in L$. Important examples of multiplicative Lie algebras required for us are

(1.12) Example. *Any group P is a multiplicative Lie algebra with $\{x, y\} = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in P$.*

(1.13) Example. *For any group P there exists the free multiplicative Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(P)$ on P which is characterized (up to isomorphism) by the following two properties: P is a subgroup of $\mathcal{L}(P)$; and any group homomorphism $P \rightarrow L$ from P to a multiplicative Lie algebra L extends uniquely to a morphism of multiplicative Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}(P) \rightarrow L$.*

The free multiplicative Lie algebra functor $\mathcal{L}$ is the left adjoint of the forgetful functor from Multiplicative Lie Algebras to Groups. The construction of $\mathcal{L}$ is given in [4] and more precisely in [1].

Let P be a group and $\Gamma_n(P)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{L}(P)$ generated by the elements $\{\{\dots \{\{x_1, x_2\}, x_3\}, \dots\}, x_n\}$ for $x_i \in P$. In particular $\Gamma_1(P) = P$. Then the group identity morphism on P induces a surjective morphism of multiplicative Lie algebras

$$\theta : \mathcal{L}(P) \twoheadrightarrow P$$

in which P has the structure of (1.12), and which restricts to surjective group homomorphisms

$$\theta_n : \Gamma_n(P) \twoheadrightarrow \gamma_n(P)$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $\gamma_1(P) = P$, $\gamma_n(P) = [\gamma_{n-1}(P), P]$ is the lower central series of P . Now we can exactly formulate the Ellis' conjecture.

Conjecture. *If P is a free group, then θ_n are isomorphisms for all $n \geq 1$.*

As we had already mentioned, the above conjecture was proved in [4] for $n = 2$ and 3. The next section is devoted to the proof for $n = 4$.

2. ELLIS CONJECTURE FOR COMMUTATORS OF WEIGHT 4

We begin by recalling the notion of the nonabelian tensor product introduced by Brown and Loday [3] for a pair of groups G, H which act on themselves by conjugation and each of which acts on the other compatibility, i.e.,

$$({}^g h)g' = ghg^{-1}g', \quad ({}^h g)h' = hgh^{-1}h'$$

where $g, g' \in G$, $h, h' \in H$, and ghg^{-1} , hgh^{-1} are elements of the free product $G * H$. The nonabelian tensor product $G \otimes H$ is the group generated by the symbols $g \otimes h$ subject to the relations

$$gg' \otimes h = ({}^g g' \otimes {}^g h)(g \otimes h)$$

$$g \otimes hh' = (g \otimes h)({}^h g \otimes {}^h h')$$

for all $g, g' \in G$ and $h, h' \in H$.

We will use the additive notations each time $G \otimes H$ is abelian.

In the sequel, unless specified, the tensor product of groups $G \otimes H$ belongs to three kinds for which the compatibility conditions hold:

- (1) G is a normal subgroup of H and actions are given by conjugations;
- (2) G is an abelian quotient of some normal subgroup of H , the action of H on G is induced by conjugation and the action of G on H is trivial;
- (3) $H = P_{ab}$ and G is a quotient of $[P, P]_{ab}$, for some group P , the action of H on G is induced by conjugation and the action of G on H is trivial.

Let P be a group. Define $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ according to (3). As $[P, P]_{ab}$ is a P_{ab} -module, [6, Proposition 3.2] says that $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ is isomorphic to $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes_{P_{ab}} IP_{ab}$, where IP_{ab} denotes the augmentation ideal of P_{ab} . Hence $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ is abelian.

(2.1) Lemma. *Let P be a group. Then we have the following equalities in $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$:*

$$(2.2) \quad ([x, y][x', y']) \otimes z = [x, y] \otimes z + [x', y'] \otimes z,$$

$$(2.3) \quad [[a, b], y] \otimes x + [x, [a, b]] \otimes y = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad [{}^p z, x] \otimes y + [y, {}^p z] \otimes x - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x = 0.$$

for any $a, b, x, y, z, p \in P$.

Proof. We only prove the second and third equalities. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
[[a, b], y] \otimes x + [x, [a, b]] \otimes y &= [a, b] {}^y[b, a] \otimes x + {}^x[a, b][b, a] \otimes y \\
&= [a, b] \otimes x + {}^y[b, a] \otimes x + {}^x[a, b] \otimes y + [b, a] \otimes y \\
&= [a, b] \otimes x + {}^x[a, b] \otimes {}^x y + [b, a] \otimes y + {}^y[b, a] \otimes {}^y x \\
&= [a, b] \otimes xy + [b, a] \otimes yx = [a, b] \otimes xy + [b, a] \otimes xy = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[{}^p z, x] \otimes y + [y, {}^p z] \otimes x - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\
&= [[p, z]z, x] \otimes y + [y, [p, z]z] \otimes x - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\
&= ([z, x][p, z], x) \otimes y + ([y, [p, z]][y, z]) \otimes x - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\
&= [z, x] \otimes y + [[p, z], x] \otimes y + [y, [p, z]] \otimes x + [y, z] \otimes x - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\
&= [[p, z], x] \otimes y + [y, [p, z]] \otimes x = 0, \text{ by (2.3).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let P be a group. P and $P \otimes P$ are P -crossed modules and they act on each other via their images in the basis P , i.e.,

$${}^z(x \otimes y) = {}^z x \otimes {}^z y, \quad {}^{x \otimes y} z = [x, y] z.$$

Thus, in the next lemma, $(P \otimes P, P)$ is a pair equipped with compatible actions and we can define the nonabelian tensor product $(P \otimes P) \otimes P$. In order to describe $(P \otimes P) \otimes P$ more precisely, assume that F is the free group generated by symbols $x \otimes y$, $x, y \in P$. Then, $(P \otimes P) \otimes P$ will be the group generated by symbols $f \otimes z$, $f \in F$, $z \in P$, subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(ff' \otimes z)(f \otimes z)^{-1}(ff' \otimes fz)^{-1} &= 1, \\
(f \otimes zz')(zf \otimes {}^z z')^{-1}(f \otimes z)^{-1} &= 1, \\
\overline{f} \otimes z &= 1, \overline{f} \in \overline{F},
\end{aligned}$$

where $f, f' \in F$, $z, z' \in P$, f acts on z via its image in $P \otimes P$ and $\overline{F}$ is the normal subgroup of F generated by the following elements

$$\begin{aligned}
(xx' \otimes y)(x \otimes y)^{-1}({}^x x' \otimes {}^x y)^{-1}, \\
(x \otimes yy')({}^y x \otimes {}^y y')^{-1}(x \otimes y)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2.5) Lemma. Assume that P is a group, F is the aforementioned group, i.e., F is the free group generated by symbols $x \otimes y$, $x, y \in P$ and $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ is defined as in Lemma (2.1). Then there is a well-defined homomorphism $\delta : (P \otimes P) \otimes P \longrightarrow [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$, given as follows: if $f = \prod_i (x_i \otimes y_i)^{\epsilon_i} \in F$, $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, and $z \in P$ then

$$f \otimes z \mapsto \sum_i \epsilon_i ([x_i, y_i] \otimes z + [z, x_i] \otimes y_i + [y_i, z] \otimes x_i),$$

where f is identified with its image into $P \otimes P$.

Proof. Taking into account the relations above, we have to check the following equalities:

$$(2.6) \quad \delta((ff' \otimes z)(f \otimes z)^{-1}(ff' \otimes fz)^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \delta((f \otimes zz')(zf \otimes zz')^{-1}(f \otimes z)^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \delta(((xx' \otimes y)(x \otimes y)^{-1}(xx' \otimes xy)^{-1}) \otimes z) = 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \delta(((x \otimes yy')(yx \otimes yy')^{-1}(x \otimes y)^{-1}) \otimes z) = 0,$$

where $f, f' \in F$ and $x, y, x', y', z \in P$.

The proof of (2.6) will be trivial, if we show that $\delta(f'f' \otimes fz) = \delta(f' \otimes z)$ for all $f, f' \in F$ and $z \in P$. It suffices to take $f = x \otimes y$ and $f' = x' \otimes y'$, where $x, y, x', y' \in P$. Thus, we need to show that $\delta((x \otimes y)(x' \otimes y') \otimes (x \otimes y)z) = \delta((x' \otimes y') \otimes z)$, which is equivalent to the equality $\delta((x' \otimes y') \otimes [x, y]z) = \delta((x' \otimes y') \otimes z)$. Clearly $\delta((x' \otimes y') \otimes z) = \delta([x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y' \otimes [x, y]z)$, hence we have to check that $\delta((x' \otimes y') \otimes [x, y]z) = \delta([x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y' \otimes [x, y]z)$, which is equivalent to the following:

$$\delta(((x' \otimes y')([x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y')^{-1}) \otimes z) = 0.$$

One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(((x' \otimes y')([x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y')^{-1}) \otimes z) \\ &= [x', y'] \otimes z + [z, x'] \otimes y' + [y', z] \otimes x' - [x, y]x', [x, y]y' \otimes z - [z, [x, y]x'] \otimes [x, y]y' \\ & \quad - [x, y]y', z \otimes [x, y]x' \\ &= [x, y]x', [x, y]y' \otimes [x, y]z + [x, y]z, [x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y' + [x, y]y', [x, y]z \otimes [x, y]x' \\ & \quad - [x, y]x', [x, y]y' \otimes z - [z, [x, y]x'] \otimes [x, y]y' - [x, y]y', z \otimes [x, y]x' \\ &= [x, y]z, [x, y]x' \otimes [x, y]y' + [x, y]y', [x, y]z \otimes [x, y]x' - [z, [x, y]x'] \otimes [x, y]y' - [x, y]y', z \otimes [x, y]x' \\ &= 0, \text{ by previous lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

We will check only (2.7) and (2.8), because (2.9) is similar to (2.8).

(2.7): One can easily see that it is enough to consider $f = x \otimes y$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes zz')((zx \otimes zy) \otimes zz')^{-1}((x \otimes y) \otimes z)^{-1}) \\ &= [x, y] \otimes zz' + [zz', x] \otimes y + [y, zz'] \otimes x - [z, z]y \otimes zz' - [zz', z]x \otimes zy \\ & \quad - [zy, zz'] \otimes zx - [x, y] \otimes z - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\ &= [x, y] \otimes z + [zx, zy] \otimes zz' + [zz', z]x \otimes y + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x + [zy, zz'] \otimes x \\ & \quad - [zx, zy] \otimes zz' - [zz', z]x \otimes zy - [zy, zz'] \otimes zx - [x, y] \otimes z - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\ &= 0, \text{ since } [a, b] \otimes zp = [a, b] \otimes p. \end{aligned}$$

(2.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta((xx' \otimes y)(x \otimes y)^{-1}(x' \otimes y)^{-1}) \otimes z) \\
&= [xx', y] \otimes z + [z, xx'] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes xx' - [x, y] \otimes z - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x \\
&\quad - [x', y] \otimes z - [z, x'] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x' \\
&= [x', y] \otimes z + [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [x', y] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x + [y, z] \otimes x' \\
&\quad - [x, y] \otimes z - [z, x] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x - [x', y] \otimes z - [z, x'] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x' \\
&= [x', y] \otimes z + [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y - [x', y] \otimes z - [z, x'] \otimes y - [y, z] \otimes x' \\
&= 0, \text{ by the previous lemma.}
\end{aligned}$$

□

(2.10) Lemma. *Let P be a group and $H_2(P) = 0$. Then the homomorphism $\delta : (P \otimes P) \otimes P \longrightarrow [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ introduced in Lemma (2.5) factors through $(\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{ab}$. Thus, $\delta^* : (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{ab} \longrightarrow [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ given by*

$$[x, y] \otimes z \mapsto [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x$$

is well defined.

Proof. By [3] we have $[P, P] \cong (P \otimes P)/X_1$, where X_1 is the normal subgroup $P \otimes P$ generated by $x \otimes x$, for all $x \in P$. Therefore,

$$(\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{ab} \cong ((P \otimes P) \otimes P)/X_2$$

where X_2 is the normal subgroup of $(P \otimes P) \otimes P$ generated by $(x \otimes x) \otimes z$, $([x, y] \otimes z) \otimes p$, $(x \otimes y) \otimes [p, q]$ for all $x, y, z, p, q \in P$. By the previous lemma it is enough to check the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta((x \otimes x) \otimes z) = 0, \\
& \delta((x, y] \otimes z) \otimes p) = 0, \\
& \delta((x \otimes y) \otimes [p, q]) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta((x \otimes x) \otimes z) = [x, x] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes x + [x, z] \otimes x = 0, \\
& \delta((x, y] \otimes z) \otimes p) = [[x, y], z] \otimes p + [p, [x, y]] \otimes z + [z, p] \otimes [x, y] = 0, \text{ by Lemma (2.1),} \\
& \delta((x \otimes y) \otimes [p, q]) = [x, y] \otimes [p, q] + [p, q] \otimes x + [y, [p, q]] \otimes x = 0, \text{ by Lemma (2.1).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Given a group P , let $\alpha : [P, P] \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)$ be the commutator map: $\alpha([x, y] \otimes z) = [[x, y], z]$. α induces the following homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1 : [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab} \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)/[[P, P], [P, P]], \\
& \alpha_2 : (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes P_{ab} \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)/\gamma_4(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $i : \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha_1$ and $i' : \text{Ker } \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha_2$ as restrictions of the natural projections $[P, P] \otimes P \longrightarrow [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ and $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab} \longrightarrow (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes P_{ab}$, respectively.

(2.11) Lemma. *Let P be a free group and define δ^* as in Lemma (2.10). Then $\text{Ker } \alpha_1 = \text{Im } \delta^*$ and $i' : \text{Ker } \alpha_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha_2$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Part one: Using diagram chasing we easily check that $i : \text{Ker } \alpha \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha_1$ is surjective. [4, Theorem 9] says that $\text{Ker } \alpha$ is generated by $([x, y] \otimes {}^y z)([y, z] \otimes {}^z x)([z, x] \otimes {}^x y) \in [P, P] \otimes P$ and $p \otimes p \in [P, P] \otimes P$ for all $x, y, z \in P$ and $p \in [P, P]$. Since i is surjective, the generators of $\text{Ker } \alpha_1$ will be $[x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x \in [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P_{ab}$ for all $x, y, z \in P$. Hence $\text{Ker } \alpha_1 = \text{Im } \delta^*$.

Part two: Using diagram chasing we easily check that $i' : \text{Ker } \alpha_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha_2$ is surjective. To show the injectivity we need to check the following: if $\omega \in \text{Ker } \alpha_1$, then $\delta^* i'(\omega) = 3\omega$. In fact, by discussion above it suffices to take $w = [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* i'(w) &= \delta^*([x, y] \otimes z) + \delta^*([z, x] \otimes y) + \delta^*([y, z] \otimes x) \\ &= [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x \\ &\quad + [x, y] \otimes x + [y, z] \otimes x + [x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y \\ &= 3([x, y] \otimes z + [z, x] \otimes y + [y, z] \otimes x) = 3w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for injectivity of i' , it is sufficient to show that $\text{Ker } \alpha_1$ is torsion free. We have $\text{Ker } \alpha_1 \cong H_3(P_{ab})$ (see [8, Theorem 6.7] and [4]). Since P is free, $H_3(P_{ab}) \cong P_{ab} \wedge P_{ab} \wedge P_{ab}$ (see [2, 5, 7]) which is torsion free. $\square$

Given a group P , we have the short exact sequence of groups

$$(2.12) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)] \longrightarrow [P, P]_{ab} \longrightarrow \gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Suppose X be one of the groups in the sequence (2.12). Define $X \otimes P$ according to (2). Assume that the homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &: (\gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)]) \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)] \\ \beta_1 &: [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)], \\ \beta_2 &: (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)/\gamma_4(P), \end{aligned}$$

are defined by taking commutators. These homomorphisms are well defined because the restrictions to $\gamma_2(P)$ of the actions of P on $\gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$, $\gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$ and $\gamma_3(P)/\gamma_4(P)$ induced by conjugation are trivial. If P is a free group, then there is a short exact sequence of groups

$$(2.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

In fact, since the groups in Sequence (2.12) are P -modules and act trivially on P , we have $\otimes P \cong \otimes_P IP$, where $IP = \text{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$. Since P is free, IP is a free P -module. Therefore, (2.12) $\otimes P$ is a short exact sequence. This implies that (2.13) is a short exact sequence.

(2.14) Lemma. *Let P be a free group. Assume that*

- 1) *A is a normal subgroup of $(\gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)]) \otimes P$ generated by all $x \otimes y \in (\gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)]) \otimes P$, where $y \in [P, P]$;*
- 2) *A' is the natural image of A into $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$;*

3) B is a normal subgroup of $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$ generated by all $z \otimes z \in [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$ where $z \in [P, P]$ and in $z \otimes z$, the first z is identified with its image in $[P, P]_{ab}$.

Then

(a) $\text{Ker } \beta_2 \cong \text{Ker } \beta_1 / (A' + B)$.

(b) $\text{Ker } \beta_0$ is generated by A and the set of elements $[y, {}^{y^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[x, y], x] \otimes {}^x y$, $[y, {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^x y + [q, {}^{q^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes p + [{}^{q^{-1}}[x, y], p] \otimes {}^p q$ for all $x, y, p, q \in P$.

Proof. (a): Denote by j the natural homomorphism $\text{Ker } \beta_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_2$. Since $\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)$ and P act trivially on each other, by [3] we have

$$(2.15) \quad (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes P \cong (\gamma_2(P)/\gamma_3(P)) \otimes P_{ab}.$$

Thanks to this we easily see that j sends $(A' + B)$ to zero and induces a homomorphism $j^* : \text{Ker } \beta_1 / (A' + B) \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_2$. Assume that $[P, P] \wedge P$ is defined naturally (i.e. as in [4]) and $\alpha^* : [P, P] \wedge P \longrightarrow \gamma_3(P)$ is the commutator map. We have the natural projection

$$[P, P] \wedge P \longrightarrow ([P, P]_{ab} \otimes P) / (A' + B),$$

which induces a homomorphism:

$$\text{Ker } \alpha^* \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Ker } \beta_1 / (A' + B).$$

Using diagram chasing we easily check that τ is an epimorphism. Hence the composition $\text{Ker } \alpha^* \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Ker } \beta_1 / (A' + B) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{Ker } \beta_2$ is an epimorphism. Prove that $j^* \circ \tau$ is an isomorphism. Since P is a direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups and this system is compatible with j^*, τ, α^* and β_2 , without loss of generality we can assume that P is a free group with finite basis. Then $H_3(P_{ab}) \cong P_{ab} \wedge P_{ab} \wedge P_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, taking into account (2.15) and the previous lemma, we have

$$\text{Ker } \beta_2 \cong \text{Ker } \alpha_2 \cong \text{Ker } \alpha_1 \cong H_3(P_{ab}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where α_1 and α_2 are defined as above. On the other hand there is an isomorphism $\text{Ker } \alpha^* \cong H_3(P_{ab})$ (see [4]). Thus, both of $\text{Ker } \alpha^*$ and $\text{Ker } \beta_2$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore, any epimorphism $\text{Ker } \alpha^* \rightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_2$ (in particular $j^* \circ \tau$) is an isomorphism. Hence j^* is an isomorphism and we have (a).

(b): Note that B is generated by all $[x, y] \otimes [x, y] \in [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$ and $([p, q] \otimes [x, y] + [x, y] \otimes [p, q]) \in [P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$, where $x, y, p, q \in P$. Therefore, taking into account (2.13) and (a), it is sufficient to prove that in $[P, P]_{ab} \otimes P$ the following hold:

$$[x, y] \otimes [x, y] = [y, {}^{y^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[x, y], x] \otimes {}^x y,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [p, q] \otimes [x, y] + [x, y] \otimes [p, q] \\ &= [y, {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^x y + [q, {}^{q^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes p + [{}^{q^{-1}}[x, y], p] \otimes {}^p q, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y, p, q \in P$. Both of these equalities will be clear, if we prove the following:

$$[p, q] \otimes [x, y] = [y, {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^x y.$$

for all $x, y, p, q \in P$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [p, q] \otimes [x, y] &= [p, q] \otimes x^y x^{-1} = [p, q] \otimes x + {}^x[p, q] \otimes {}^{xy}x^{-1} \\
 &= [p, q] \otimes x + ({}^{xy}y^{-1}[p, q] \otimes {}^{xy}x^{-1} + {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes xy) - {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes xy \\
 &= [p, q] \otimes x + {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes (xy)x^{-1} - {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes xy \\
 &= [p, q] \otimes x + {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes {}^xy - {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes x - {}^{xy^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes {}^xy \\
 &= ([p, q] \otimes x - {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes x) + ({}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes {}^xy - {}^{xy^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes {}^xy) \\
 &= ({}^{yy^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes x + {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]^{-1} \otimes x) + ({}^{y^{-1}}[p, q] \otimes {}^xy + {}^{xy^{-1}}[p, q]^{-1} \otimes {}^xy) \\
 &= [y, {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]] \otimes x + [{}^{y^{-1}}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^xy.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

(2.16) Lemma. *Let P be a free group and let $\alpha' : \gamma_3(P) \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$ be the homomorphism defined by taking commutators, i.e., $[[x, y], z] \otimes p \mapsto [[x, y], z], p$, for $x, y, z, p \in P$. Then $\text{Ker } \alpha'$ is generated by the subgroups $[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)] \otimes P$ and $\gamma_3(P) \otimes \gamma_2(P)$ and the set of elements $([y, {}^{y^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes x)([{}^{y^{-1}}[x, y], x] \otimes {}^xy)$ and $([y, {}^{y^{-1}}[p, q]] \otimes x)([{}^{y^{-1}}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^xy)([q, {}^{q^{-1}}[x, y]] \otimes p)([{}^{q^{-1}}[x, y], p] \otimes {}^pq)$, for all $x, y, p, q \in P$.*

Proof. Assume that A is defined as in Lemma (2.14). Then we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{\gamma_3(P) \otimes P}{\gamma_3(P) \otimes \gamma_2(P) + [\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)] \otimes P} \cong \frac{(\gamma_3(P)/[\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)]) \otimes P}{A}$$

given in a natural way. The rest of the proof is a consequence of Lemma (2.14)(b). □

(2.17) Theorem. *If P is a free group, then $\theta_4 : \Gamma_4(P) \longrightarrow \gamma_4(P)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since surjectivity of θ_4 is obvious, we will prove the injectivity.

Let $\Gamma'_4(P)$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma_4(P)$ generated by $\{\{\{p_1, p_2\}, [p, q]\}, p_3\}$ and $\{\{\{p_1, p_2\}, p_3\}, [p, q]\}$, for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p, q \in P$. We easily see that $\Gamma'_4(P)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{L}(P)$ and $\theta_4(\Gamma'_4(P)) = [\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$. Define the homomorphisms $\overline{\theta}_4$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_4$:

$$\Gamma'_4(P) \xrightarrow{\overline{\theta}_4} \theta_4(\Gamma'_4(P)) = [\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)] \text{ is the restriction of } \theta_4 \text{ on } \Gamma'_4(P);$$

$$\Gamma_4(P)/\Gamma'_4(P) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\theta}_4} \gamma_4(P)/\theta_4(\Gamma'_4(P)) = \gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)] \text{ is induced by } \theta_4.$$

The proof of (2.17) will be done, if we show that $\overline{\theta}_4$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_4$ are injective. Using (1.9) and (1.10) we easily show that $\Gamma'_4(P) \subset \Gamma_3(P)$. Since θ_3 is an isomorphism, $\overline{\theta}_4$ will be injective. In order to show injectivity of $\widetilde{\theta}_4$, we construct the homomorphism

$$(\theta_3^{-1} \widetilde{\otimes} P) : \gamma_3(P) \otimes P \longrightarrow \Gamma_4(P),$$

$$[[x, y], z] \otimes p \mapsto \{\theta_3^{-1}([x, y], z), p\} = \{\{\{x, y\}, z\}, p\}.$$

Taking into account (1.9), it is trivial to check that $\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P$ is well defined. Then, the following composition

$$\gamma_3(P) \otimes P \xrightarrow{\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P} \Gamma_4(P)/\Gamma'_4(P) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\theta}_4} \gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$$

is the map $\alpha' : \gamma_3(P) \otimes P \longrightarrow \gamma_4(P)/[\gamma_3(P), \gamma_2(P)]$ defined in Lemma (2.16). Since $\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P$ is onto, $\text{Ker } \widetilde{\theta}_4 = (\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(\text{Ker } \alpha')$. Hence, the generators of $\text{Ker } \widetilde{\theta}_4$ are the images by $\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P$ of the set of generators given in Lemma (2.16). Thus, we have to show the following:

$$(2.18) \quad (\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)([\gamma_2(P), \gamma_2(P)] \otimes P) \subset \Gamma'_4(P),$$

$$(2.19) \quad (\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(\gamma_3(P) \otimes \gamma_2(P)) \subset \Gamma'_4(P),$$

$$(2.20) \quad (\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(([y, {}^{y-1}[x, y]] \otimes x)([{}^{y-1}[x, y], x] \otimes {}^xy)) \in \Gamma'_4(P),$$

$$(2.21) \quad (\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(([y, {}^{y-1}[p, q]] \otimes x)([{}^{y-1}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^xy)([q, {}^{q-1}[x, y]] \otimes p)([{}^{q-1}[x, y], p] \otimes {}^pq)) \in \Gamma'_4(P).$$

(2.18) and (2.19) are trivial inclusions. For (2.20) and (2.21), note that there are the following congruences mod $\Gamma'_4(P)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, {}^z z'\}, z_3\} &\equiv \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, z'\}, z_3\}, \\ \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, z_3\}, {}^z z'\} &\equiv \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, z_3\}, z'\}, \\ \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, z_3\}, {}^{\{z, z'\}} z_4\} &\equiv \{\{\{z_1, z_2\}, z_3\}, z_4\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z, z' \in P$. These relations and (1.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(([y, {}^{y-1}[x, y]] \otimes x)([{}^{y-1}[x, y], x] \otimes {}^xy)) \\ &= \{\{y, {}^{y-1}\{x, y\}\}, x\}\{\{y^{-1}\{x, y\}, x\}, {}^xy\} \equiv (\{\{x, y\}, \{x, y\}\})^{-1} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta_3^{-1}\widetilde{\otimes}P)(([y, {}^{y-1}[p, q]] \otimes x)([{}^{y-1}[p, q], x] \otimes {}^xy)([q, {}^{q-1}[x, y]] \otimes p)([{}^{q-1}[x, y], p] \otimes {}^pq)) \\ &= \{\{y, {}^{y-1}\{p, q\}\}, x\}\{\{y^{-1}\{p, q\}, x\}, {}^xy\}\{\{q, {}^{q-1}\{x, y\}\}, p\}\{\{q^{-1}\{x, y\}, p\}, {}^pq\}\} \\ &\equiv (\{\{x, y\}, \{p, q\}\})^{-1}(\{\{p, q\}, \{x, y\}\})^{-1} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the congruences being still taken mod $\Gamma'_4(P)$. Thus, (2.20) and (2.21) are proved. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The second author was supported by Xunta de Galicia, PGIDIT06PXIB371128PR and the MEC (Spain), MTM 2006-15338-C02-01 (European FEDER support included). We are grateful to Professor Nick Inassaridze for discussion during the period of development of the paper. We are sorry that he refused to be one of the coauthors of this paper. We also thank the referees for several helpful suggestions which have significantly contributed to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bak, G. Donadze, N. Inassaridze and M. Ladra, *Homology of multiplicative Lie rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), 761–777.
- [2] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [3] R. Brown and J.-L. Loday, *Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces*, Topology **26** (1987), 311–335.
- [4] G. J. Ellis, *On five well-known commutator identities*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser A **54** (1993), 1–19.
- [5] G. Guérard, *Produit tensoriel non abélien, relations entre commutateurs et homologie des groupes*, PhD Thesis, Université de Rennes 1, 2005.
- [6] D. Guin, *Cohomologie et homologie non abéliennes des groupes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **50** (1988), 109–137.
- [7] P. J. Hilton, U. Stammbach, *A Course in Homological Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971.
- [8] H. Inassaridze, *Non-abelian homological algebra and its applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [9] W. Magnus, *Über Beziehungen zwischen höheren Kommutatoren*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **177** (1937), 105–115.
- [10] C. Miller, *The second homology group of a group; relations among commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**, (1952). 588–595.
- [11] E. Witt, *Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **177** (1937), 152–160.